

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>INSPI</u>	ECTION TYPE: ANN	NUAL (INS1, INS2) 🔀	COMPLAINT/DISCO	OVERY (CI)		
	RE-l	INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAIN	ΓNO:		
AIRS	ID#: 0050084 DATE: 4	5/16/2008	ARRIVE: <u>10:30am</u>	DEPART: <u>11:05</u> ε	<u>am</u>	
FACI	LITY NAME: BAY CO	OUNTY ANIMAL SHEL	TER			
FACI	LITY LOCATION:	6401 Bayline Dr				
		PANAMA CITY 324	404-4803			
OWN	ER/AUTHORIZED RE	PRESENTATIVE: M.	ARY KIRLIN PH	ONE: (850)767-3333		
CONT	TACT NAME: Debbie	Evernham	РН	ONE: (850)767-3333		
ENTI		4/6/2006 / 4/6/2011 (effective date) (end date)				
	I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COM</u> IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COM	·	ICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	3	
DART	III. TESTING/DECOD	DKEEDING DEOLUDI	FMENTS - Pula 62-296 40	M FAC		
	TII: TESTING/RECOR check ☑ appropriate box		<u>EMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.40	01, F.A.C.		
(check appropriate box	(es))			☐ Yes	⊠ No
1.	check appropriate box Were there any objectio Was a visible emissions	c(es)) contails odor(s) detected? contails test conducted during the	is site visit according to EPA	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter		
1. 2.	were there any objection Was a visible emissions 62-297, F.A.C.)?In order to demonstrate	c(es)) contains the conducted during the conducted	is site visit according to EPA	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60	□Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
1. 2.	were there any objection Was a visible emissions 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrate days prior to the AGP N	cancer (es)) contains the state of the stat	is site visit according to EPA	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date?	☐Yes	⊠ No
1. 2. 3.	Were there any objection Was a visible emissions 62-297, F.A.C.)?	can be calculated and	is site visit according to EPA iance, was an annual visible sion, and within 60 days prio	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (I	□Yes Rule □Yes	
1. 2. 3.	Were there any objection Was a visible emissions 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrate days prior to the AGP N 62-296.401(6)(j), F.A.C. In order to demonstrate completed within 60 days	c(es)) onable odor(s) detected? s test conducted during th individual source complication form submiss c.) individual source complication form submiss c.)	is site visit according to EPA iance, was an annual visible sion, and within 60 days prio iance were the remaining application form submission? (A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (I	□Yes Rule □Yes	⊠ No
1. 2. 3.	Were there any objection Was a visible emissions 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrate days prior to the AGP N 62-296.401(6)(j), F.A.C In order to demonstrate completed within 60 day a) Carbon Monoxide (Covolume, dry basis, corre	c(es)) onable odor(s) detected? s test conducted during the conducted	is site visit according to EPA iance, was an annual visible sion, and within 60 days prior iance were the remaining applification form submission? (r below the requirements of only average basis and tested	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (I emissions test conducted for to each anniversa	□Yes Rule □Yes	NoNo
1. 2. 3.	Were there any objection Was a visible emissions 62-297, F.A.C.)?	c(es)) conable odor(s) detected? conable odor(s) detected? conable odor(s) detected? conable odor(s) detected? conable odor(s) detected during the conable of the submiss of the submission of the submiss	is site visit according to EPA iance, was an annual visible sion, and within 60 days prioriance were the remaining applification form submission? (r below the requirements of arly average basis and tested	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (I plicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method	□Yes Rule □Yes □Yes □Yes	NoNoNoNoNo
1. 2. 3.	Were there any objection Was a visible emissions 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrate days prior to the AGP N 62-296.401(6)(j), F.A.C In order to demonstrate completed within 60 da a) Carbon Monoxide (Covolume, dry basis, corre 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-29 b) Oxygen test perform	c(es)) conable odor(s) detected? conable odor(s) detected? conable odor(s) detected? conable odor(s) detected? conable odor(s) detected during the conable odor(s) detected?	iance, was an annual visible sion, and within 60 days prioriance were the remaining applification form submission? (r below the requirements of arrly average basis and tested ethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-29)	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (Incomplicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method experience of the property of	□Yes Rule □Yes □Yes □Yes	NoNoNoNo
1. 2. 3.	Were there any objection Was a visible emissions 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrate days prior to the AGP N 62-296.401(6)(j), F.A.C. In order to demonstrate completed within 60 da a) Carbon Monoxide (Covolume, dry basis, corre 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-29 b) Oxygen test perform c) Particulate matter em	onable odor(s) detected? s test conducted during the individual source complication form submissions equal to one ceted to 7% O ₂ on an hour of processions to EPA Memissions test with results.	is site visit according to EPA iance, was an annual visible sion, and within 60 days prioriance were the remaining applification form submission? (r below the requirements of arly average basis and tested	emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (Included by the following of the following testing the following testing the following testing the following testing to the following testing testing the following testing the following testing testing the following testing testing the following testing testin	□Yes Rule □Yes □Yes □Yes	NoNoNoNoNo
(1. 2. 3.	Were there any objection Was a visible emissions 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrate days prior to the AGP M 62-296.401(6)(j), F.A.C. In order to demonstrate completed within 60 day a) Carbon Monoxide (Covolume, dry basis, correction (Ref.: Chapter 62-29) b) Oxygen test perform c) Particulate matter endry standard cubic foot (Ref.: Chapter62-297, F.C.)	onable odor(s) detected?s test conducted during the individual source compliance individual source co	is site visit according to EPA iance, was an annual visible sion, and within 60 days prio iance were the remaining applification form submission? (r below the requirements of arrly average basis and tested ethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-29 equal to or below the required to 7% O ₂ and tested accord	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (I plicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method 7, F.A.C.)? ements of 0.080 grains per ling to EPA Method 5	□Yes Rule □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	NoNoNoNoNo
(1. 2. 3.	Were there any objection Was a visible emissions 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrate days prior to the AGP M 62-296.401(6)(j), F.A.C. In order to demonstrate completed within 60 da a) Carbon Monoxide (0 volume, dry basis, corre 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-29 b) Oxygen test perform c) Particulate matter end dry standard cubic foot (Ref.: Chapter62-297, F Was all emissions testin	can be conducted during the conducted with the sound conducted during the conducted with the sound conducted during the conducted durin	iance, was an annual visible sion, and within 60 days prioriance were the remaining applification form submission? (or below the requirements of arrly average basis and tested ethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-29 equal to 07 below the required to 7% O ₂ and tested accordance operating at the manufacture.	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (I plicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method 7, F.A.C.)? ements of 0.080 grains per ling to EPA Method 5	□Yes Rule □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	 No No No No No No No
(1. 2. 3. 4.	were there any objection Was a visible emissions 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrate days prior to the AGP M 62-296.401(6)(j), F.A.C. In order to demonstrate completed within 60 days a) Carbon Monoxide (Covolume, dry basis, correction (Ref.: Chapter 62-29 b) Oxygen test perform c) Particulate matter endry standard cubic foot (Ref.: Chapter62-297, F. Was all emissions testin capacity?	can be conducted during the conducted with the source conducted with the source conducted during the conducted with the source conducted during the conducte	iance, was an annual visible sion, and within 60 days prioriance were the remaining applification form submission? (or below the requirements of arrly average basis and tested ethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-29 equal to 07 below the required to 7% O ₂ and tested accordance operating at the manufacture.	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (I plicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method 7, F.A.C.)? ements of 0.080 grains per ling to EPA Method 5 cturers recommended	□Yes Rule □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNo
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Were there any objection Was a visible emissions 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrate days prior to the AGP N 62-296.401(6)(j), F.A.C In order to demonstrate completed within 60 da a) Carbon Monoxide (Covolume, dry basis, correct 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-29 b) Oxygen test perform c) Particulate matter endry standard cubic foot (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F Was all emissions testin capacity? Was CO & PM complia Was the Department no	conable odor(s) detected? s test conducted during the individual source complication form submissed.) individual source complication form submissed.) individual source complication form submissed. CO) emissions equal to objected to 7% O ₂ on an hour of the first	iance, was an annual visible sion, and within 60 days prioriance were the remaining applification form submission? (or below the requirements of arrly average basis and tested ethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-29 equal to or below the required to 7% O ₂ and tested accordance operating at the manufacture operating at the manufacture of the date of the last form	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (I plicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method 7, F.A.C.)? ements of 0.080 grains per ling to EPA Method 5 cturers recommended	□Yes Rule □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Ye	 No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	temperati	ires in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber c		
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		□ No
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?		
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re		
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	vorumg o	
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes	□ No
2) Monitoring device	Yes	□ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	Yes	□ No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation		☐ No
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks		☐ No
6) Adjustments	⊠Yes	□ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices		□ No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠Yes	☐ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)		
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)		
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)		
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F ?	Yes	□ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F		
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	□ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature		
is equal to or greater than 1400°F ?	Yes	□ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	_	_
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the		
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?		No
4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:	_	_
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time.	ne	
@ 1800° F?		☐ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F		
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes	No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic		
process begins in the primary chamber?		☐ No
5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	<u> </u>	
plastics used during the cremation of dead animals?	⊠Yes	☐ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the		
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	f	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	\boxtimes Yes	☐ No
b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less than 3 mils		
thick?	⊠Yes	☐ No
c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other		
materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location?	□Yes	⊠ No
6. During this review period, was the largest batch load cremated 500 pounds per hour or less?	Yes	☐ No
7. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	Yes	☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the durati	on	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	\boxtimes Yes	☐ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUL A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>RES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.	
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantial recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 6 local program office? If a crematory unit has been modified to the exten was required, have all operators been retrained to In the case of new or modified equipment, where required, has the owner submitted copies of all of a) submitted within the 15 day required window 	hout replacement?	No No No No No No No
Gerald Sheehan	05/20/2008	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: I was met at the facility by Ms. Debbie Evernham, Animal Control Officer, and Mr. Jim Crosby, Division Manager. Ms. Evernham provided me with access to all the records I asked for and escorted me around the facility. The crematory was in operation during my inspection.

I was shown documentation from the manufacturer of the crematory unit regarding its operation, in particular the pre-loading on the first burn cycle of the day when the temperature in the secondary burn chamber has not reached 1600°F. I also read the Department response letter dated June 26, 2007 regarding this issue. The facility is in compliance with its operating permit.